

MINUTES OF THE 113TH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT HELD ON MAY 31, 2012 AT 11.00 A.M. IN THE BOARD ROOM, NEW VCO BLOCK, IGNOU CAMPUS, MAIDAN GARHI, NEW DELHI-110068

The following were present:

1	Prof. M. Aslam, Vice-Chancellor	- Chairman
2	Shri Anant Kumar Singh, Jt. Secretary(CU&L), MHRD	- Representative, Secretary, MHRD
3	Prof. Vinay Kumar Pathak	- Member
4	Prof. G. Sundar	- Member
5	Er.R.K. Gupta	- Member
6	Prof. B.K. Pattanaik	- Member
7	Prof. E. Vayunandan	- Member
8	Dr. Srikant Mohapatra	- Member
	Shri Udai S. Tolia, Registrar (Admn.)	- Secretary

The Secretary, Ministry of I&B, Member, BOM could not attend the meeting. Shri L.M.Pandey, Finance Officer attended the meeting as a Special Invitee.

At the outset, the Chairman welcomed the Members to the 113th Meeting of the Board of Management. Thereafter, the Chairman welcomed Prof. Vinay Kumar Pathak, the Vice Chancellor, Uttarakhand State Open University, as new Member on the Board of Management, as an expert in Distance Education, under Clause 1(vi) (a) of the IGNOU Act.

In his prefatory remarks the Vice Chancellor stated he has noted with concern, a serious mismatch between the expansion activities of the University operations on a truly large scale during last few years and the related care and concern for conformity with the governing doctrines enshrined in the Act, the Statutes & Ordinances. Sadly, the legitimacy and authenticity required for such mammoth expansion initiatives has not only been given a go-by in the recent past but has simultaneously brought to the fore several academic & administrative issues and challenges. He considered it important that this apex executive organ of the University is duly informed about these key issues faced by the University. He drew the attention of BOM to the following:

- There have been a large number of MoUs signed by IGNOU in the last five years which have as a rule shown complete disregard for IGNOU Act, Statutes and Ordinances. These MoUs have given rise to a large number of such institutions across the country that are offering programs of all hues without the necessary approvals from University's statutory bodies and are also assuring IGNOU stamping with approval the award of degrees and certificates.
- Another area of grave concern has been the Community College Scheme. We have allowed these colleges to offer all sorts of programmes and also issued certificates to their students without being directly involved in conducting the examination.
- Similar in nature as well as in content is the Convergence Scheme under which 437 institutions across the country have been allowed to offer our programmes without the nod of School Boards and other statutory bodies. IGNOU also provided them a grant of Rs. 6 Lakhs each to start their activities. It is reported that 60 of them have zero enrolment and recovery of grant is posing lot of problems.

He felt that prompt and deft handling is an absolute imperative and that addressing these issues and corrective measures need no procrastination. The Vice Chancellor informed that these issues were also referred to the 'High Power Review Committee' and that the Report of the High Power Review Committee is being placed as separate agenda for the meeting. The Chairman called upon the members to deliberate on these issues and sought guidance of the Board in the light of High Power Review Committee report.

The Chairman then requested the Registrar/Secretary, BOM to present the items on the Agenda.

The following items were taken up for consideration:

ITEM NO. 1 TO CONFIRM THE MINUTES OF THE 112TH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT HELD ON APRIL 09, 2012 AND ACTION TAKEN REPORT

BM 113.1.1 The Registrar informed that the Minutes of the 112th Meeting of the Board of Management held on 09.04.2012 were circulated to the Members of the Board. No comments have been received from the Members. The Board confirmed the Minutes of the 112th Meeting held on 09.04.2012, as placed at **Appendix**.

BM 113.1.2 The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of 112th Board meeting was also placed before the Board.

BM 113.1.3 In reference to the matter of appointment of Dr. R.P. Singh as the Director, Regional Services Division (RSD), the Board noted the information regarding appointment of Dr.R.P. Singh as Director, Regional Services Division and observed that information on his merit based selection is still missing. To supplement the information, the Board called Prof. M.S.S. Raju, Director, ACD, along with the relevant file to clarify the position. Prof. Raju stated that this Item was presented by the then Vice Chancellor himself. No Agenda was prepared by his Division. He also stated that he did not put up any note for presenting this Item in the BOM. The Members of the Board perused the file and found that there is nothing stated as to why Dr.R.P. Singh, a junior-most Regional Director was picked up to be the Director, Regional Services Division, whose main job is to coordinate work of all the Regional Directors/Regional Centres including Sr. Regional Directors. The position of the Director, Regional Services Division, was held by the senior-most Regional Director so far. However, at the time of the appointment of Dr. Masood Parvez, there was a departure from the procedure followed in the past i.e. seniority. However, Dr. Masood Parvez was appointed through a process of selection i.e. presentation made by the eligible Senior Regional Directors, as desired by the then Vice-Chancellor. Nonetheless, either of the criteria i.e. seniority or any process of selection/presentation was not followed in case of Dr. R.P. Singh as confirmed by the Director, ACD.

BM 113.1.4 In view of the above, the Board directed that corrective/remedial measures in the appointment of Director, RSD be taken immediately, strictly as per the above criteria i.e. seniority as followed in the past. The services of Dr.R.P. Singh may be suitably placed, accordingly. The action taken in this regard will be reported to the Board its next meeting.

BM 113.1.5 With regard to the case of Dr. V.S.P. Srivastava, Head, Comp. Div., the Board decided that the same may be discussed in the next Board.

BM 113.1.6 As regards Item No.10 concerning the case of Shri M. Rajamannar, Producer (SG), EMPC, the ATR in the matter was placed before the Board. The Board noted the same and desired to have the case further examined and re-submitted in the next meeting of the Board.

ITEM NO. 2 TO CONSIDER THE GUIDELINES FOR RE-EMPLOYMENT OF PROFESSORS AFTER THE AGE OF SUPERANNUATION IN IGNOU

BM 113.2.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Secretary, BOM placed the Report of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor on 14th November, 2011 as per the directions of the Board at its 110th meeting held on 07.10.2011, for finalization and adoption of guidelines in the matter of re-employment of superannuated Teachers in the light of model guidelines circulated by UGC on 15.02.2008.

BM 113.2.2 While discussing these proposed guidelines it was observed that:

- i) The re-employment scheme **is not to be construed for postponing the retirement age from 65 years to 70 years.**
- ii) The appointment will be on contractual basis.
- iii) **Re-employment is also not an automatic process** but a provision for well deserving academic experts in their field /discipline so as to *utilize their experience, knowledge* and skills for the benefit of students and academic community.
- iv) However, as matter of principle, all necessary efforts need to be made to advertise the vacant positions as soon as the same fall vacant and to be filled up as per the prescribed rules, unless the need for the services of superannuated teacher is unavoidable.
- v) The superannuated teacher after re-employment will continue to contribute not only in design and development of courses and research but also academic evaluation and assessment. They will also continue to be members of various academic bodies of the University.
- vi) A superannuated re-employed teacher shall not be assigned any administrative assignment or drawing and disbursing powers

BM 113.2.3 With the above observations, the Board approved adoption of the proposed guidelines and desired that a fair copy of the guidelines be placed before the Board at its next meeting for information. These guidelines with the above modifications will be effective from the date of this meeting.

ITEM NO. 3 TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE QUALIFICATIONS FOR ACADEMIC & NON-ACADEMIC POSTS IN INDIAN SIGN LANGUAGE RESEARCH & TRAINING CENTRE (ISLRTC)

BM 113.3.1 The Board considered the proposal and observed that it would be proper to place the proposal in Academic Council and Finance Committee respectively as the proposal has both academic consideration and financial implications .The Board also observed that keeping in view the time constraint, the action can be taken to fill up the positions after the proposal is cleared by the Academic Council and the Finance Committee and matter reported to the Board subsequently.

ITEM NO. 4 TO CONSIDER AND APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ACADEMIC COUNCIL FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF THE CENTRE FOR MODERN INDIAN LANGUAGES AND MOTHER TONGUES

BM 113.4.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board observed that the proposal needed to be routed through the other Statutory Bodies i.e. Planning Board, Academic Council and Finance Committee and the recommendations of the statutory bodies may be placed before the Board.

BM 113.4.2 The Chairman invited the attention of the members of BOM to the fact that the University has established a number of Centres over last few years who do not form part of the statutorily provided academic structure of the University. The role and responsibilities of these centres and their relationship with Schools have not been defined. They have also been allowed to offer academic programmes. The Board was also informed that with the increasing number of Schools and then these centres being allowed to offer academic programmes, a lot of duplication of distance education interventions is taking place and prescribed requirements are not followed. There is a need to revisit assignment of various disciplines to the Schools as well as desirability of having these centres. Some of the members felt that if some of these Centres are not serving the purposes for which they were established, the University may consider to close them

BM 113.4.3 The Board, resolved to constitute a Committee to revisit assignment of disciplines to the existing schools and desirability of having various centres and their role, responsibility and place in the academic organizational set up of the University. The Vice-

Chancellor was authorized to constitute the committee.

ITEM NO. 5 TO CONSIDER THE APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS OF VARIOUS SCHOOLS OF STUDIES

BM 113.5.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The BOM approved the appointment of Prof. Deeksha Kapoor as Director (SOCE) w.e.f 01.01.2012 for 3 years or till she remains in the service of the University, whichever is earlier, as proposed. The BOM also approved appointment of Prof. Anu. J. Thomas as Director (SOGDS) w.e.f 11.2.2012 for 3 years or till she remains in the service of the University, whichever is earlier, as proposed

BM 113.5.2 The BOM was informed that the following Directors of Schools have completed their tenures of three years on the date(s) mentioned against their names and they have been asked to continue as Directors of the respective Schools till further orders, as the incumbents are the only Professors in these Schools and there is no other Professor in place in these Schools:

S. No.	Name of the Director and School	Date of completion of tenure
1.	Prof. C.G. Naidu, School of Vocational Education and Training	20.11.2011
2.	Prof. Gracious Thomas, School of Social Work	10.2.2012
3.	Prof. B.K. Pattanaik, School of Extension and Development Studies	24.2.2012
4.	Prof. Sunil Kumar, School of Performing and Visual Arts	5.3.2012
5.	Prof. M.K. Salooja, School of Agriculture	15.5.2012

BM 113.5.3 In the same way, there are two more Schools viz. School of Foreign Languages and School of Computer and Information Sciences where the charge of Director of the Schools was given to the senior most Teachers of the respective Schools i.e. Dr. Sushant Kumar Mishra (SOFL) w.e.f. 01.03.2012 and Shri Shashi Bhushan Sharma (SOCIS) w.e.f. 01.02.2012. Both are Associate Professors.

In these cases, directions of the Board were sought as to whether:

(i) they can be appointed for a second term of three years from the

date following completion of their first term as indicated against each in terms of Clause 1(ii)(a) of Statute 4; or

- (ii) they can be appointed till further orders or till another Professor is available, whichever is earlier not exceeding the tenure of three years.

BM 113.5.4

The Board was of the view that rotation has to be kept in mind while appointing the Directors. The Board observed that in several Universities there is a provision for appointment of Associate Professors as Directors in the event of non-availability of a Professor in the School. However, the Board noted that the existing statutory provision in IGNOU dealing with the appointment of Director does not provide for such provision and therefore, the Board resolved to amend the Statute as under:

“A Director of a School shall be appointed from amongst the Professors (by rotation) of the School by the Board of Management on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor and in case there is only one Professor or no Professor available / eligible in the School, the senior-most teacher at the level of Associate Professor in the School shall be given the charge of the Director by rotation.”

BM 113.5.5

The Board resolved to amend the above Statute and directed to seek assent of the Visitor for the amendment in terms of Section 25 (3) of the IGNOU Act. The Board further directed that till the amendment is proposed and assent obtained from the Visitor, the University may take necessary action in the light of above proposed amendment.

BM 113.5.6

In reference to the situation arising out of the non-availability of senior faculty in the School of Tourism Hospitality Service Sectoral Management (SOTHSSM) and keeping in view the peculiar situation created by her going to Hon’ble High Court against her transfer to HQs resulting into administrative and operational exigencies, the Board noted the same with serious concern and approved the proposal that a suitable Senior Professor from other School could be posted as Director In-Charge of the SOTHSSM till such time a permanent position of a Professor is filled up.

ITEM NO. 6 TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL FOR ADOPTING THE EARLIER PRACTICE OF PREPARATION OF GOLD POLISHED SILVER MEDALS TO THE MERITORIOUS STUDENTS ON THE EVE OF CONVOCATION

BM113.6.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board approved the same.

ITEM NO. 7 TO CONSIDER THE ISSUE OF FACULTY DEVELOPMENT IN THE UNIVERSITY

BM 113.7.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board observed that as the proposal involves financial implications it needs to be placed before the Finance Committee and the recommendations of the Finance Committee may be placed before the Board.

ITEM NO. 8 TO CONSIDER THE COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE IGNOU ON CERTAIN DECISION OF THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT.

BM 113.8.1 The item was considered. The Board was informed that a Committee has been constituted in this regard and the report of the Committee is awaited. The Board resolved that the committee report be submitted on priority in the next meeting of the Board. However till such time the report of the Committee is submitted, the CCS(Conduct) Rules of the Govt. of India shall be applicable.

ITEM NO. 9 TO CONSIDER THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE HIGH POWER REVIEW COMMITTEE

BM 113.9.1 The Item was taken up for consideration. The Secretary, BOM placed the report of the High Power Committee under the Chairmanship Prof. Ram Takwale, Former VC, IGNOU before the Board. The Board deliberated/discussed the issues/ recommendations given in the Committee Report. The Board observed that the Mandate of the University was to offer the programmes/courses through ODL Mode only and therefore, IGNOU need to focus on Distance Education only. The Board further observed that in order to ensure that the University is not reduced to a Degree/Diploma/Certificate distributing institution, it is necessary that the issues raised in the Committee Report are given proper attention and MOUs are signed only with well established institutions of repute. This is required to ensure that the credibility of the University is not lowered in the eyes of the public.

BM 113.9.2

After detailed deliberations/discussions on the Committee Report, the Board resolved as under:

Community College Scheme / Convergence Scheme / MoU's

- (i) The MoUs signed by IGNOU be thoroughly examined and reviewed by a Committee. Only those MoUs shall be retained which are within the Mandate of the University.
- (ii) Similarly, Community College Scheme be subjected to a thorough time-bound review. Till then all actions be kept in abeyance. The Vice-Chancellor may constitute the review committee(s) to complete the task in six months.
- (iii) The above information needs to be widely circulated/advertised and put on the IGNOU Website so that the potential students remained informed accordingly.
- (iv) The Convergence Scheme may also be subject to a thorough review as recommended in the Committee Report. The Vice-Chancellor may constitute Committee(s).
- (v) Till the review is made, the admission to various Programme/Courses under Convergence Scheme shall be kept in abeyance.

On-Campus Programmes/Courses

Apart from High Power Committee Report, the Board also took a note of a short report on status of On-Campus Regular Academic Programmes of IGNOU prepared by Campus Coordination Committee. The Board agreed with the following suggestions made in this report.

- The ODL programmes at the University should remain a priority to all the Schools of Studies and those schools who don't have ODL programmes should develop the ODL programmes before they continue with On-Campus programmes.
- Schools opting for not continuing with On-Campus programmes should be allowed to discontinue (SOFL, SOTST & SOEDS).
- A systematic review of Academic programmes vis-à-vis available faculty strength and other resources in order to continue On-Campus programmes should be undertaken to facilitate a sound decision regarding the On-Campus programmes.

- Programmes purely based on theory/reference material be allowed or not, through On-Campus mode, should be debated and an appropriate decision may be taken by the review committee.
- The above review committee needs to link the workload/guidelines of UGC, to be followed in all respects at IGNOU On-Campus programmes so that problems will not crop up in this regard later.
- The programmes with a high component of practical/lab (over 40%) which require continuous and comprehensive interaction may be allowed to continue with On-Campus programmes as expressed by the schools involved.
- Internal faculty of IGNOU only should be involved in teaching On-Campus students as far as possible.
- The regular programmes should have a minimum and maximum number of students which need to be fixed.
- Apart from schools opting out for offering On-Campus programme, the programmes on offer with few students should be reviewed at least after two consequent batches. If the enrolment continues to be low the programmes may be considered for closures.
- Some of the practical sessions of regular programme may be recorded to be used for ODL students for broadcast as desired by the faculty.

In addition to the above Board of Management also resolved that:

- (i) The University shall ensure that the interests of the existing students at the campus are well protected.
- (ii) The Schools of Studies opting not to continue these programmes/courses shall be allowed to discontinue them.
- (iii) The Schools of Studies who are interested to continue their On-Campus courses should have these programmes/courses founded in ODL mode.
- (iv) Till a view is taken and their sustainability and values to the University is ascertained through a Committee to be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, the concerned Schools may continue to offer these programmes/courses treating Classrooms as Model Study Centres.

ITEM NO.10 TO CONSIDER THE REQUEST OF DR. NANDINI SINHA KAPUR, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, SCHOOL OF INTERDISCIPLINARY AND TRANSDISCIPLINARY STUDIES FOR COUNTING HER PREVIOUS SERVICE RENDERED IN PGDAV COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI FOR THE PURPOSE OF CAREER ADVANCEMENT

BM 113.10.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board observed that the matter falls in the purview of the Establishment Committee and may be placed before it. The recommendations of the Establishment Committee may be placed before the BOM.

ITEM NO.11 TO CONSIDER INCLUSION OF A CLAUSE IN STATUTE 6A, DEALING WITH THE COMPOSITION OF THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT OF THE UNIVERSITY FOR MAKING PROVISION OF A MEMBER FROM SC/ST COMMUNITY ON THE BOARD

BM 113.11.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Chairman informed the Board that in addition to representation of SC/ST on the Board, there are other representations received for nomination of their representatives on the Board. In addition, the constitution of BOM needs to be revisited in view of changes that have taken place since the enactment of IGNOU Act. He emphasized the need to constitute a Committee for appropriate recommendation.

BM 113.11.2 The Board also felt that the Statute needs to be amended and authorized the Vice-Chancellor to constitute a Committee with representation from BOM to make appropriate recommendations

ITEM NO. 12 TO CONSIDER THE REVISION OF LICENCE FEE IN RESPECT OF IGNOU HOUSES/FLATS

BM 113.12.1 The item was placed before the Board. The Board approved the proposal.

ITEM NO. 13 TO CONSIDER THE APPEAL PREFERRED BY DR. S.S. DUBEY, EX. LECTURER IN PHYSICS, IGNOU AGAINST IMPOSITION OF PENALTY OF REMOVAL FROM SERVICES

BM 113.13.1 The item was taken up for consideration. In this connection Director

ACD was called to give the detailed background. Director ACD informed that the MHRD vide their letter No. 5-78/2002-DL dated 01.02.2012 communicated that the Hon'ble President of India in her capacity as the Visitor of IGNOU has accorded necessary approval for a fresh view on the appeal of Dr. Dubey to be taken by the University. The Board noted the comments of the Inquiry Officer in the case of Dr. S. S. Dubey which are as under:

- 1) Un-authorized absence of Dr. Dubey from duty in different spells during July 1996 to April 2000.
- 2) Non-compliance of the tasks assigned to him and
- 3) Refusal to receive official communications addressed to him.

BM 113.13.2 The Board went through the proposal and observed as under:

- i) The case of Dr. Dubey is a classical example of indiscipline.
- ii) He has behaved irresponsibly and failed to cooperate with the Inquiry process.
- iii) The charges were framed against him and Charge Sheet was issued.
- iv) The notices/official communications were sent at his known addresses.

All opportunities as required under the relevant rules were provided to Dr. Dubey as provided for in accordance with the principle of natural justice.

BM 113.13.3 The Board deliberated / discussed the appeal of Dr. Dubey and resolved that Dr. Dubey has proved to be an unbecoming of an employee and he should not be retained in the services of the University which will have an adverse effect on the organization. The Board, after taking into consideration all aspects of the case resolved that the decision for termination of the services of Dr. Dubey is in order.

ITEM NO. 14 TO REPORT THE ACTION TAKEN BY THE VICE CHANCELLOR IN EXTENDING THE PERIOD OF TEMPORARY APPOINTMENT OF PROF. ANIL KUMAR JHA AS DIRECTOR, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

BM 113.14.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board ratified the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor for extension of the period of temporary appointment of Prof. Anil Kumar Jha as Director,

Planning & Development Division. The Board observed that there is a need to fill up the post urgently in view of importance of planning division in the University set-up. Since the post is reserved for SC candidate, the Board directed that the post be advertised and filled up urgently by following the due process of selection / prescribed rules in this regard.

ITEM NO. 15 TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL FOR CONSTITUTING A COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE CASE OF RE-EMPLOYMENT OF PROF. M. ASLAM, PROFESSOR OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT, SOCE

BM 113.15.1 The Chairman left the meeting and requested Prof. Vinay Pathak to chair as the item pertains to him. The item was taken up for consideration. The Board approved the proposal and constituted following Committee (which in other cases is constituted by the Vice-Chancellor) to consider the case of Prof. M. Aslam, Professor of Rural Development in SOCE, for re-employment after his superannuation and submit its recommendation to the Board for its consideration

1. Prof. Vinay Kumar Pathak - Chairman
Vice-Chancellor,
Uttarakhand Open University
Haldwani, Nainital

Two of the following three experts depending on availability;

2. Dr George Mathew
Chairman
Institute of Social Sciences
No.8, Nelson Mandela Road
New Delhi-110 070
Tel: +91-11-43158800, 43158801
Fax: +91-11-43158823, Mobile: 9810010585
or
Dr Anil Wali
Managing Director
Foundation for Innovation & Technology Transfer
Indian Institute of Technology
New Delhi- 110 016
Mobile: 9811205294
or
Prof. Partha Nath Mukherji
Former Director-Vice Chancellor of the

Tata Institute of Sciences, Mumbai.
Ishani E- 12/31
DLF City, Phase- 1
Gurgoan (Haryana)
Mobile: 9810553607

3. Prof. Deeksha Kapur
Director, School of Continuing Education
IGNOU
4. Prof. Gurchain Singh
Professor of Rural Development
SOCE, IGNOU

BM 113.15.2 The Registrar also brought to the notice of the Board that the ACD in its note dated 18.4.2012 has reported and sought clarification about holding of office of the Vice Chancellor by Prof. M. Aslam after his superannuation in the substantive position as a Professor in the School of Continuing Education w.e.f. 31.7.2012. The Board was informed that pursuant to the MHRD communication No.F.5-18/2011-DL(Pt.) dt. 20.10.2011 and subsequent Order No.152 dt. 20.10.2011, Prof. M. Aslam has been functioning as the Vice Chancellor, being the senior-most Professor from amongst the Directors of Schools of Studies, in terms of the provision under Statute 1(6) of the IGNOU Act w.e.f. 20.10.2011 (A/N). It further states that he shall perform the functions of the Vice Chancellor until the new Vice Chancellor assumes his office...'

BM 113.15.3 The Board further noted that Clause (4) of Statute 1 of the IGNOU Act provides that the age of superannuation of the Vice Chancellor shall be 70 years. The Board, however, directed that the Registrar will send a communication to the MHRD seeking necessary clarifications in the above matter regarding the office of the Vice Chancellor vis-à-vis statutory provisions of IGNOU Act.

ITEM NO. 16 TO CONSIDER RE-CONSTITUTION/NOMINATION OF MEMBERS FOR GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE, STANDING COMMITTEE OF THE BOM

BM 113.16.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board approved the proposal & authorized the Vice-Chancellor to re-constitute the Committee as per related provision.

ITEM NO. 17 TO CONSIDER NOMINATIONS OF MEMBERS ON THE ACADEMIC COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSE (1) (IV), (VI) AND (IX) OF STATUTE 9

BM 113.17.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board nominated following as members of the Academic Council under Clause (1) (iv), (vi) and (ix) of Statute 9:

Clause of Statute 9 (1)	Member
<p>(iv) Three Professors, three Readers and three Lecturers, other than the Directors of the Schools of Studies, to be nominated by the Board of Management on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor, on a rotation basis according to Seniority.</p> <p>Provided that while making these nominations, the principle of rotation among Schools and also among disciplines within the Schools shall be taken into account.</p>	<p><u>Professors:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prof. J.M. Parakh, SOH 2. Prof. Ravindra Kumar, SOSS 3. Prof. Sujatha Verma, SOS <p><u>Readers:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dr. R. Satyanarayana, STRIDE 2. Dr. S.K. Vyas, SOET 3. Dr. Kiron Bansal, SOJNMS <p><u>Lecturers:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dr. Biplab Jamatia, SOHS 2. Ms. Suneet Kashyap, SOL 3. Dr. Rukhsana Jaman, SOSS
<p>(vi) Three Directors, other than the Directors of Schools of Studies to be nominated by the Board of Management on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Director, IUC 2. Director, STRIDE 3. Director, ACIL
<p>(ix) Two members from among the academics, other than teachers to be nominated by the Board of Management on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dr. Gulab Jha, RD, RC, NOIDA 2. Dr. Asad Nizam, Dy. Director, EMPC

ITEM NO. 18 TO CONSIDER THE NOMINATIONS OF TWO MEMBERS OF THE BOM ON THE DISTANCE EDUCATION COUNCIL UNDER CLAUSE (3)(A)(V) OF STATUTE 28 OF IGNOU ACT

BM 113.18.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board nominated Prof. Vinay Pathak, Vice-Chancellor, Uttarakhand Open University and Er. R.K. Gupta, President, IETE, as members of the Distance Education Council under Clause (3)(a)(v) of Statute 28 of IGNOU Act.

ITEM NO. 19 TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL FOR REVIVAL OF THE POSITIONS OF JOINT REGISTRAR IN THE UNIVERSITY

BM 113.19.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The BOM approved the same in principle and authorized the Vice Chancellor to constitute a Committee to give its recommendations for consideration of EC/BOM.

ITEM NO. 20 TO REPORT THE APPOINTMENT OF SH. A.K. RASTOGI, PROJECT OFFICER, PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

BM 113.20.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board ratified the proposal.

ITEM NO. 21 TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL FOR RELEASE OF PAYMENT OF 3 MONTHS' RENT AS SECURITY AND ONE MONTH'S RENT AS ADVANCE FOR THE BUILDING HIRED FOR REGIONAL EVALUATION CENTRE, PATNA

BM 113.21.1 The item was taken up for consideration. The Board approved the proposal.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair

(M. Aslam)
Chairman