Minutes of the 14th School Board Meeting of School of Law held on Friday, 31 May, 2013 at 10.00 a.m. at the Board Room, New VC Block, IGNOU, New Delhi-110068

The following Members were present:

1. Prof. K. Elumalai, Director, SOL, IGNOU - Chairman

- 2. Prof. Madhava Menon, Fromer Member, Commission on Centre-State Relations (GOI), MILAT, Trivandrum
- Prof.RGB Bhagavath Kuumar, Vice-Chancellor, Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Visakhapatnam
- 4. Prof. Ravindra Kumar, Professor, School of Social Sciences, IGNOU

5. Prof. S.R. Jha, Professor, School of Sciences, IGNOU

- 6. Dr. O.P. Dewal, Associate Professor, School of Journalism and New Media Studies, IGNOU
- 7. Mr. Akshay Kumar, Associate Professor, School of Computer and Information Sciences, IGNOU
- 8. Dr. Suneet Kashyap, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
- 9. Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
- 10. Mr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
- 11. Ms. Mansi Sharma, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU

Prof.V.B.Coutinho, Director, Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies, Delhi and Mrs. Chandra Krishna Murthy, Vice-Chancellor, National Law University, Cuttack could not attend this meeting. Also, Mr. R. Venkata Ramani, Advocate, Supreme Court of India and Former Member, Law Commission of India could not attend the meeting on health grounds and informed through e-mail to the Chairman of the School Board. It was brought to the notice of all that Mrs. Chandra Krishna Murthy has assumed the office of Vice-Chancellor, Central University of Pondicherry after co-opting her as Member of the School Board of SOL. The Chairman of School Board was requested to ascertain her convenience to continue as Member of School Board for the remaining period (Action – Director, SOL)

At the outset, the Chairman welcomed all the Members of the School Board. The Chairman congratulated the newly appointed External Members of the School Board of SOL and introduced them to the internal members of the School Board.

Thereafter, the Agenda items were taken up for discussion.

Item No. 14.1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Thirteenth School Board Meeting held on 9.11.2012

The Thirteenth Meeting of the School Board of School of Law was held on Friday, 9 November, 2012 at 12.00 Noon at the Conference Room of School of Law, G Block, IGNOU, New Delhi-110068 and the minutes were circulated among the members on 23 January, 2013. The minutes are enclosed at Annexure-1.

SB.14.1.1 The Minutes of the 13th School Board Meeting were confirmed with above observations.

Item No. 14.2 Action taken report on the minutes of the Thirteenth School Board Meeting of School of Law

The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Thirteenth Meeting of the School Board is given below:

Sl. No.	Items	Action Taken
1.	Item No.13.2 The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Thirteenth School Board Meeting. □	
2	Item No.13.4 To deliberate on the vision document of School of Law	Action has been initiated A separate agenda item (14.3 has been placed)
3.	Item No.13.10 To consider the offer of One Year LLM Programme	Will be placed before the next meeting.
4.	Item No.13.11 To consider the offer of Programme on Laws governing Police Officials	Action has been initiated and the response awaited.

- SB 14.2.1 The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Thirteenth School Board Meeting was reviewed and noted by the School Board Members.
- Item No. 14.3 To deliberate upon the preparation of Future Road Map for School of Law for the Next Five Years
- SB 14.3.1 The Vision Document prepared in two parts i.e., Part-I containing the programmes and other academic activities of SOL during the last five years and Part-II containing in brief a proposed plan of action for the next two/three years was placed before the School Board Members (Annexure-2).
- SB 14.3.2 Based on the information pertaining to the programmes on offer, the Director, SOL made the following observations.
- SB 14.3.2.1 As on date, the School offers 13 Programmes as detailed below:
 - Master of Intellectual Property Law (Online) (MIPL)
 - PG Diploma in Legal Process Outsourcing (Online) (PGDLPO)
 - PG Diploma in Intellectual Property Rights (PGDIPR)
 - PG Diploma in Environmental Law (ODL) (PGDENLW) & (Online) (PGDENLWOL)
 - PG Diploma in Criminal Justice (PGDCJ)
 - Diploma in Paralegal Practice (DIPP)
 - PG Certificate in Cyber Law (ODL) & (Online) (PGCCL/PGCCL-OL)
 - PG Certificate in Patient Practice (PGCPP)
 - Certificate in Consumer Protection (CCP)
 - Certificate in Human Rights (CHR)
 - Certificate in Anti-Human Trafficking (CAHT)
 - Certificate in International Humanitarian Law (CIHL)
 - Certificate in Cooperation, Cooperative Law & Business Laws (CCLBL)

- SB 14.3.3 Three MOU based (online) programmes i.e., MIPL, PGDLPO and PGDENLWOL of the above list are put on hold by the University.
- SB 14.3.4 The average enrollment per cycle per programme during the last three years exceeded above 100 in the case of four programmes i.e., CHR, CCP, CAHT and PGDIPR.
- SB 14.3.5 The same is below 100 in the case of CCLBL, PGCPP, PGCCL, DIPP, CIHL, PGDCJ which is a matter of concern. Hence the Programme Coordinators concerned have been requested to initiate immediate steps so as to ensure enhancement of the enrollment per cycle at least above 100 (Action Concerned Programme Coordinators).
- SB 14.3.6 One of the main reasons for the low enrollment in Programmes offered by SOL is due to non-activation of Study Centres.
- SB 14.3.7 The Director informed the Members that steps have already been taken to identify Law Colleges functioning within the territorial jurisdiction of each IGNOU Region and the same had already been mailed to them through RSD. The IGOU Regional Centres have also been equally distributed among the Faculty Members with the specific purpose to take necessary steps to ensure activation of Programme Study Centres for the Law Programmes in respective Regions allotted to them.
- After detailed deliberations about the reasons and causes for low enrollment and consequent result of closure of such programmes, the Director, SOL was authorized to constitute a three-Member Committee to look into all the aspects of and suggest measures to enhance the enrollment in the Programmes in offer or closure of such programmes and also suggest potential areas for development of new programmes. Meanwhile, Faculty Members have been requested to undertake Need Assessment Survey for the Programmes mentioned under Part-II of Annexure-2. (Action All Faculty Members).
- Item No. 14.4 To consider and approve the names of Evaluators for the Specific Programmes offered by SOL.
- Dr.Suneet Kashyap, Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Mr. Anand Gupta and Ms. Mansi Sharma Assistant Professors of SOL submitted the names of the following Evaluators for PGCCL, PGDIPR, CHR,PGDENLW and PGDCJ Programmes (specific programmes offered by SOL) for consideration and approval of the School Board.
- SB 14.4.2

- 1. Pankaj Bhatt
 Lecturer Guest Faculty
 112, Subhash Nager, Udaipur
 Rajasthan -313001
 Mobile: 09414934250
 Office: 2418072
- Ashutosh Pitaliya
 Lecturer
 BN Law College
 Udaipur (Rajasthan)
- SB 14.4.3 The School Board considered and approved the names as proposed at 14.4 (Mr. Pankaj Bhatt and Mr. Ashutosh Pitaliya) as Evaluators for PGCCL, PGDIPR, CHR, PGDENLW and PGDCJ Programmes of SOL. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).

- Item No. 14.5 To consider and approve the names of Paper Setters and Evaluators for PGDIPR Programme (revised)
- Dr. Suneet Kashyap, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of PGDIPR Programme submitted the names of Paper Setters and Evaluators for PGDIPR (Revised) in Annexure-3.
- SB 14.5.2 The School Board considered and approved the above names of Paper Setters and Evaluators for PG Diploma in Intellectual Property Rights (PGDIPR) Programme (revised) as proposed at Annexure-3. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).
- Item No. 14.6

 To consider and approve the names of Paper Setters, Paper Evaluators and Project Evaluators for Project MIP (001) for PGCPP Programme
- SB 14.6.1 Dr. Suneet Kashyap, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of PGCPP Programme submitted the names of Paper Setters, Paper Evaluators and Project Evaluators for Project MIP (001) for PGCPP Programme for consideration and approval of School Board. The list of names are furnished in Annexure-4.
- SB 14.6.2 The School Board considered and approved the names of Paper Setters, Paper Evaluators and Project Evaluators for Project MIP (001) for PGCPP Programme as proposed in Annexure-4. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).
- Item No. 14.7 To consider and approve additional names of Supervisors for Research project work (MIRP 003) of MIPL Programme
- Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of MIPL Programme informed the Board Members that Master of Intellectual Property law (MIPL) is the online programme. This is a two years course. One of the courses is Research Project titled "MIRP- 003". Dr. Gurmeet Kaur submitted the list of External Supervisors and their Bio-data for the Research Project (MIRP-003) for consideration and approval of the School Board. The list of names are furnished in Annexure-5.
- SB 14.7.2 It was brought to the knowledge of the Members that this programme is put on hold by the University at present.
- SB 14.7.3 The School Board considered and approved additional names of Supervisors for Research project work (MIRP 003) of MIPL Programme as proposed in Annexure-5. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).
- Item No.14.8 To consider and approve the names of paper setters/moderators/Evaluators for DIPP Programmes.
- SB 14.8.1 Mr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of DIPP Programme placed the names for Paper Setters/ Moderators and Paper Evaluators for DIPP Programmefor consideration and approval of School Board. The list of names are furnished in Annexure -6.
- SB 14.8.2 The School Board considered and approved the names of Paper Setters/Moderators/
 Evaluators for DIPP Programmes as proposed in Annexure-6. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).

- Item No. 14.9 To consider and approve change in eligibility criteria for CAHT Programme.
- Ms. Mansi Sharma, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator, CAHT Programme has informed the School Board that she has received an email from SRD and Regional Centre Shimla to reconsider the eligibility criteria of CAHT Programme. At present the eligibility criteria to enroll in CAHT Programme is as under:
- SB 14.9.2 Any graduate or 10+2 with 5 years of experience in the related field or 10th standard (after doing bridge course from IGNOU they will be admitted in this programme)
- RCs are receiving mails from prospective learners and Police Personnel to enroll the police officers at the rank of Constables and above. The Constables who were employed earlier are only 10th Pass, so they are not able to enroll in this programme. Secondly, there is a doubt on the 'Pre-university examination' (11+3 pattern) are eligible for pursuing 'CAHT' programme or not.
- Ms. Mansi Sharma proposed for a change in the eligibility criteria for enrolling in CAHT Programme as 10th Pass for the consideration and approval of the School Board.
- SB 14.9.5 The members deliberated upon the issue and few of the members commented that a programme is developed for certain level and if we need to reduce the eligibility criteria, we have to make changes in the material to make it to the level of 10th standard so that they are able to study.
- After detailed deliberations and keeping in view the observations and the remarks/comments mentioned at 14.9.5, the School Board came to the conclusion that the there is **no need** to relax eligibility criteria for CAHT Programme as proposed. Coordinator).
- Item No. 14.10 To consider and approve the Change in Evaluation Methodology for PGCCL (Online) Programme.
- SB 14.10.1 Dr.Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of PGCCL (Online) Programme submitted a detailed note regarding the Change in Evaluation methodology for Post Graduate Certificate in Cyber Law online (PGCCL_OL) from Jan 2014 for consideration and approval of the School Board. The same is enclosed at Annexure-7.
 - SB 14.10.2 The School Board considered the proposal and approved the change in evaluation methodology of PGCCL OL Programme from Research Paper to Term-End (Pen and Paper) from January, 2014 as proposed. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).

- Item No. 14.11 To consider and approve the offer of MIPL (Online) Programme into ODL Programme (MIPL ODL Mode)
- SB 14.11.1 Dr.Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, SOL and the Programme Coordinator of MIPL programme has submitted a proposal to offer the MIPL Programme (at present offered through On-line) through ODL mode.
- SB 14.11.2 The Programme Coordinator stated that the Master of Intellectual Property Law is two years programme offered by School of Law in collaboration with QUT and Rainmaker from School of Law. The programme covers an introduction to various forms of IP, in depth analysis and study of each form of IP, the law relating to IP and issues relating to the commercialisation of TP. The programme was marketed, delivered and managed by M/s Rainmaker through their online E-learning platform (E-mentor). The MoU between rainmaker and IGNOU has expired on 10th October 2012. The License Agreement of school of Law, IGNOU with QUT has expired on 07/04/2013. This programme is also put on hold by the University at present.
- SB 14.11.3 The matter has also been discussed in last School Council Meeting and it was decided the school should independently launch MIPL programme in ODL mode as well.
- SB 14.11.4 The 4th School Board Meeting held on 14th December 2007 has also approved offering of MIPL programme through ODL mode (Copy of Phase I enclosed).
- SB 14.11.5 The School Board after deliberations on the issue, considered and approved the offer of MIPL (Online) Programme into ODL Programme as well (MIPL ODL Mode) as proposed latest by January, 2014. (Action Concerned Programme Coordinator).
- Item No. 14.12 To consider and approve the minutes of the Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 24th May, 2013
- SB 14.12.1 Prof. K.Elumalai, Ph.D Programme Coordinator and Director, SOL informed the members of School Board that the Doctoral Committee Meeting was held on 24th May, 2013 to discuss the Agenda Items circulated along with the agenda and background papers.
- SB 14.12.2 The Minutes containing the deliberations held in the said meeting was placed for the consideration and approval by the School Board (Annexure 8)
- SB 14.12.3 The School Board considered and accorded approval of the said Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 24th March, 2013.
- Item No. 14.13 To consider and approve the Ph.D. Programme Progress Reports of Ms. Preeti Gupta for the period Jan.-June, 2011, July-December, 2011, Jan.-June, 2012 and July-December, 2012
- SB 14.13.1 Prof. K.Elumalai, Ph.D Programme Coordinator and Director, SOL informed the members, Ms. Preeti Gupta is registered for Ph.D in Law with School of Law since January, 2009. The progress report for the period upto December, 2010 was approved in the 11th School Board Meeting held on 11th November 2011. The progress report for the remaining period as detailed below submitted by Ms. Preeti

Gupta and duly seen and recommended by his Ph.D supervisor, Prof. Sri Krishna Deva Rao is submitted for consideration, review and approval of the School Board of School of Law, IGNOU.

January to June, 2011	January to June, 2012	
July to December, 2011	July to December, 2012	

SB 14.13.2

The School Board Members considered the said reports and accorded approval for the same.

Item No. 14.14

To consider and approve the list of candidates for admission into Ph.D. (Law Programme)

SB 14.14.1

Prof. K.Elumalai, Ph.D Programme Coordinator & Director SOL, informed the Members of the School Board that SOL has received in all 13 applications for Ph.D Programme in Law through Research Unit. Initial screening was carried out with the help of a Screening Committee Meeting constituted for the purpose held on 7th & 11th March, 2013 wherein it was decided to call 11 students out of 13 candidates (2 candidates were rejected on the ground of non-conforming the eligibility criteria (LLM completed in 2011 only) for defending their research proposal before the Doctoral Committee. The Doctoral Committee Meeting was held on 24th May, 2013. **09** out of 11 students (listed below) defended their proposal before the Doctoral Committee. The observations/recommendations made by the Doctoral Committee against each candidate is given below in two categories: i.e. (A) Candidates Recommended and (B) Candidate not recommended

SB. 14.14.2 A. Candidates Recommended

S.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (submitted)	Observations/Remarks/Recommendations of the Doctoral Committee
1	Mr. Rahul Verma	Human Rights of Refugees – A Critical Study	The candidate can be recommended for admission in Ph.D. programme subject to the change in title of the thesis and confining it into India in consultation with the supervisor, if available. Therefore it is recommended for admission.
2	Mr. Rakesh Kumar	Constitutional Philosophy of Right to Education in India –An Empirical Study with Special Reference to Girl Child in NCR, Delhi	The candidate can be recommended for admission in Ph.D. Programme. The topic needs to be changed to "Enforcement of Right to Education of Girl Child in Delhi – An Empirical Study. The modified topic along with necessary changes in terms of sample size etc., need to be taken care of. Therefore it is recommended for admission.
3	Mr. Saji Mathew	International Humanitarian Law – An Insight into the Child Soldiers in India	The candidate can be recommended for admission in Ph.D. programme. Topic needs to be reframed as "Child Soldiers as an Abuse of Child Rights – A Socio, Legal Study in India. Therefore it is recommended for admission.

SB. 14.14.3 B. Candidates Not Recommended

S.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (submitted)	Observations/Remarks/Recommendations of the Doctoral Committee
1.	Mr.Biranchi Narayan Prasad Panda	Competition Law and Policy Regime in Developing Countries (BRICS): Its Implementation Importance & Emerging Issues Perspective	The topic seems to be too wide for a Ph.D. programme which needs to be narrowed down. Cannot be taken in this present form and it cannot be recommended for approval. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.
2	Mr.Devesh Pathak	Law Relating to Mistake under Indian Contract Act and its Effect on Contractual Relationship	The topic is a good one for a research paper instead of a research proposal. But it cannot be a research topic for Ph.D. The proposal submitted by the candidate did not reflect neither the objectives nor the methodology. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.

3	Mr. Dipak Talukdar	"Any Measure Taken" under Section 13(4) and Right to Appeal under Section 17(1) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002 – A Critical Appraisal	The topic is too small a topic for a Ph.D. Programme. The candidate is advised to prepare a research paper on this topic but this topic cannot be recommended for a Ph.D. topic. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.
4	Mr. Hitendra Bargal	"Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Pharmaceutical Sector in India"	The topic is not new. Lot of work has already been done on this topic. Topic needs to be changed. The candidate can be considered provided the topic is changed. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.
5.	Mr. Jai Dev Kumar	"Ombudsman System in India"	The topic is too wide and has not been a topic of research. The candidate can be advised to carryout/take a fresh topic. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.
6	Ms.Zoparliani Khiangte	Study of Legal Practices and the Indian Policy over Refugees in Mizoram	It seems the candidate has not found/did any literature survey which needs to be reviewed. Therefore it is not recommended for admission.

SB 14.14.4

The School Board Members after detailed deliberations resolved as under

- a) A 4 Credit Course work may be made compulsory for all the recommended candidates for Ph.D registration.
- b) The course work may be prescribed on the subject 'Research Methodology'. The School will prepare the curriculum for the said paper and communicate the same to the candidates. However, it is not necessary to prepare Course Material from this paper. The examination may be conducted as per University guidelines/norms as applicable to Ph.D candidates.
- c) The students may be given 6 months time to finalise the research topic, however, course work can begin simultaneously along with registration.
- d) The recommended candidates may be requested to submit a brief statement about the Ph.D Topic proposed by them and brief particulars about the Educational Qualifications and Experience.

SB 14.14.5

At the request of Director SOL, the following three candidates recommended for admission in Ph.D Programme in Law have submitted the said brief synopsis on the proposed research topic and brief CV as detailed below:

S.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (recommended)	Annexure
1	Mr. Rahul Verma	Human Rights of Refugees – A Critical Study	Annexure 1.1 - synopsis on the proposed research topic Annexure 1.2 - Brief CV
2	Mr. Rakesh Kumar	Enforcement of Right to Education of Girl Child in Delhi – An Empirical Study	
3	Mr. Saji Mathew	Child Soldiers as an Abuse of Child Rights – A Socio, Legal Study in India	

SB 14.14.6

It is informed for the benefit of the members of the School Board that a Screening Committee constituted for the purpose of screening the Ph.D applications received, has also looked into the eligibility criteria of the candidates as per the advertisement and found the above 3 candidates suitable for defending their Ph.D proposal before the doctoral committee.

SB 14.14.7

The School Board considered and approved the above 3 names of the recommended candidates (SB 14.14.5) along with the topics finalized at the Doctoral Committee, with the above remarks made (SB 14.14.4), by circulation.

- Item No. 14.15 To consider and approve the Panel of Examiners for the Evaluation of Ph.D. Thesis submitted by Mr. Anand Gupta.
- Prof. K.Elumalai, Ph.D Programme Coordinator & Director SOL, informed the Members that Mr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, SOL has submitted the Ph.D. thesis on the topic 'Right to Equality and Disability Rights Jurisprudence in India' under the Supervision/Guidance of Prof.(Dr.) Srikrishna Deva Rao, Professor of Law & Registrar, NLU, Delhi.
- The List of External Examiners for Evaluation of Ph.D thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta (Enrolment No.100165476) received from the said Supervisor was also placed before the Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 24th May, 2013 which endorsed the recommendation made by the concerned Supervisor.
- The School Board on perusal of the said list, has suggested that the Ph.D supervisior of Mr. Anand Gupta i.e. Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao may be requested to furnish the following additional information for the consideration of the Members of School Board of SOL.

SB 14.15.3

1. The suitability of each External Examiner proposed for evaluation of Ph.D thesis in the context of the topic of the Ph.D thesis.

The same was placed for the consideration and approval by the School Board.

- 2. In addition, suggest a few names of External Examiners for evaluation of Ph.D thesis from abroad with similar required expertise in the field of Ph.D study carried out.
- SB 14.15.4 Accordingly the Director SOL requested the Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao to furnish the above information, who informed as under
 - "...the Ph.D thesis submitted by Mr. Anand Gupta on the topic 'Right to Equality and Disability Rights Jurisprudence in India' falls under the purview of Constitutional Law with special reference to Disability Jurisprudence. There are very few Law Teachers in India who are specialized in disability, such as Prof. Amita Dhanda, NALSAR University of Law. However, Prof. Amita Dhanda has earlier guided Mr. Anand Gupta in fine tuning his thesis. I have no objection in including the name of Prof. Amita Danda also in the list of External Examiners. The list of seven External Examiners given below in the table consists of Professors who are specialised in Constitutional Law and Jurisprudence and can authoritatively evaluate the thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta. I could not identify some External Examiners for the evaluation of Ph.D thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta from abroad."
- SB 14.15.5 The revised list of experts recommended by the Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao is as under:
 - Prof. Kamala Shankaran, Professor of Law, Campus Law Center, University of Delhi

- 2. **Prof. Amita Dhanda**, Professor of Law & Dean (Academic), NALSAR University of Law
- Prof. Dilip Ukey, Pro-Vice Chancellor & Professor of Law, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Naded-431606
- 4. **Prof. Gangotri Chakraborty**, Professor of Law, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, Dist: Darjeeling 734013
- 5. Prof. Hemlata Devi, Dean, ICFAI Law School, Hyderabad
- 6. Prof. V. Vijay Kumar, Vice Chancellor, Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University
- Prof. Nishtha Jaswal, Professor, Department of Law, Punjab University, Sector-14, Chandigarh – 160014
- SB 14.15.6

The above information furnished by Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao did not meet the requirement made by SOL as per the decision taken in the School Board, hence, he was once again requested to furnish the information as requested by SOL.

SB 14.15.7

Meanwhile we have also received the following names of experts for the evaluation of the Ph.D thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta from one of the School Board Members for the consideration and approval by the School Board members.

- 1. Prof.(Mrs.) Zoya Hassan, Jawaharlal Nehru University
- 2. Prof. M.P. Singh, Chairman, Delhi Judicial Academy
- 3. **Prof. Kalpana Kannayiram**, Centre for Economic & Social Studies, Hyderabad (formerly of NALSAR, Hyderabad)
- 4. Prof. Satish Deshpande, Delhi School of Economics
- 5. Prof. Gopal Guru, Jawaharlal Nehru University

All of them have done considerable work on human rights in relation to Right to Equality. The last three persons were in the Committee appointed by the Government of India to draft the Equal Opportunity Commission."

SB 14.15.8

It is brought to the kind notice of the members that Prof. Amita Dhanda recommended by Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao, had earlier guided Mr. Anand Gupta in fine tuning his thesis hence, her name may not be considered owing to the possibility of conflict of interest. Further with a view to restrict the list of examine to 10, the name of Prof.(Mrs.) Zoya Hassan may not be considered as, comparatively, the remaining 4 members (sl no. 2-5) have done considerable work in the relevant field.

SB 14.15.9

In view of the above, the following revised list of external examiners for the evaluation of Ph.D thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta (Enrolment No.100165476) is submitted for the consideration and approval by School Board.

- Prof. Kamala Shankaran, Professor of Law, Campus Law Center, University of Delhi
- Prof. Dilip Ukey, Pro-Vice Chancellor & Professor of Law, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Naded-431606

- 3. **Prof. Gangotri Chakraborty**, Professor of Law, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, Dist: Darjeeling 734013
- 4. Prof. Hemlata Devi, Dean, ICFAI Law School, Hyderabad
- 5. Prof. V. Vijay Kumar, Vice Chancellor, Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University
- Prof. Nishtha Jaswal, Professor, Department of Law, Punjab University, Sector-14, Chandigarh – 160014
- 7. Prof. M.P. Singh, Chairman, Delhi Judicial Academy
- 8. **Prof. Kalpana Kannayiram**, Centre for Economic & Social Studies, Hyderabad (formerly of NALSAR, Hyderabad)
- 9. Prof. Satish Deshpande, Delhi School of Economics
- 10. Prof. Gopal Guru, Jawaharlal Nehru University

SB 14.15.10 The School Board members approved the above list of External Examiners (SB 14.15.9) for the evaluation of Ph.D thesis of Mr. Anand Gupta, by circulation.

Item No. 14.16 Any other matter with the permission of the Chair

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Prof. K. Elumalai) Director, SOL