

**Minutes of the 15<sup>th</sup> School Board Meeting of School of Law held on Tuesday, 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2014 at 11.00 a.m. at Room no. - 2, Convention Centre, IGNOU, New Delhi-68**

The following Members were present:

1. Prof. K. Elumalai, Director, SOL, IGNOU - Chairman
2. Prof. RGB Bhagavath Kumar, Vice-Chancellor, Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Visakhapatnam
3. Prof. Ravindra Kumar, Professor, School of Social Sciences, IGNOU
4. Prof. S.R. Jha, Professor, School of Sciences, IGNOU
5. Dr. O.P. Dewal, Associate Professor, School of Journalism and New Media Studies , IGNOU
6. Mr. Akshay Kumar, Associate Professor, School of Computer and Information Sciences, IGNOU
7. Dr. Suneet Kashyap, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
8. Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
9. Mr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU
10. Ms. Mansi Sharma, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU

Prof. Madhav Menon requested for grant of leave of absence through email due to his preoccupation with other Commitments hence, the same was granted.

Prof. V.B. Coutinho, Director, Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies, Delhi and Mrs. Chandra Krishna Murthy, Vice-Chancellor, National Law University, Cuttack (presently VC, Central University, Pondicherry) and Mr. R Venkata Ramani, Advocate, Supreme Court of India, could not attend this meeting.

At the outset, the Chairman welcomed all the Members of the School Board and briefed the important academic activities carried out after the last School Board Meeting as under.

A 5 Days Professional Development Training Programme for Advocates on "Constitutional Litigation and Human Right Advocacy" organized by "IBA-CLE, NLSIU and Menon Institute of Legal Advocacy Training was hosted by School of Law, IGNOU, New Delhi between 18-22 Sep, 2013 at IGNOU.

This workshop was attended by 40 advocates from all parts of the country. The participants got a rare opportunity to listen to very eminent speakers, including several Senior Advocates of the Supreme Court, Prof. N. R. Madhava Menon, IBA-CLE Chair in Continuing Legal Education, NLSIU, Bangalore, Prof. M. P. Singh, Chairperson, Delhi Judicial Academy, V.C. Jindal Global University & Hon'ble Justice Madan Lokur, Judge, Supreme Court of India also addressed the young advocates on Legal Aid and the Role of Judges and Lawyers in expediting procedures and enlarging access in Constitutional courts.

The Director has also informed the Members that the SOL continued the conduct of Legal Awareness of Common Men in Gyan Darshan on 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Saturday of every month and Kanooni Salah in Gyanwani on 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> of every month. During the said period, 22 programs in Gyan Darshan and 19 programs in Gyanwani respectively have been conducted.

Thereafter, the Agenda items were taken up for discussion.



**Item No. 15.1 Confirmation of Minutes of the Fourteenth School Board Meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2013**

**SB 15.1.1** The Fourteenth Meeting of the School Board of School of Law was held on Friday, 31 May, 2013 at 10.00 a.m. at the Room no. 2, Convention Centre, IGNOU, New Delhi-110068 and the Minutes of the meeting was circulated among the Members on 17 July, 2013.

**SB 15.1.2** The comments/ observations were received from three Faculty Members of SOL viz **1. Dr. Suneet Kashyap Srivastava, 2. Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, 3. Ms. Mansi Sharma.** The Comments/Suggestions circulated along with the agenda items of the 15<sup>th</sup> School Board Meeting scheduled on 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2014 are given in **Annexure-I.**

**SB 15.1.3** After detailed deliberations, the Committee Members resolved as under:

The observations made by Dr. Suneet Kashyap Srivastava and Dr. Gurmeet Kaur may be taken up for consideration as a separate agenda item under any other item with the permission of the chair. The observations made by Ms. Mansi Sharma involves the policy matter, hence, the same cannot be taken up for consideration till the policy of the University is changed. In view of the above, the original minutes circulated on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2013 stand confirmed without any addition/ modification.

**Item No. 15.2 The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Fourteenth Meeting of the School Board held on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2013**

**SB 15.2.1** The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Fourteenth Meeting of the School Board is given below

S. No.	Item No.	Action Taken
1.	14.3.8	<p>A three Member Committee was constituted to look into all the aspects of the following matters and suggest suitable measures.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To enhance the enrollment in the programmes in offer at present.</li><li>2. To initiate the process for the closure of programmes which are likely to remain unviable, and</li><li>3. To suggest potential areas for development of new programmes.</li></ol> <p>The Committee comprised of Chairperson Prof. K. Elumalai, Prof. N.R. Madhava Menon, Dr. Manoj Kulshreshtha, Dr. Akshay Kumar and Dr. Suneet Kashyap (convener). The 1st meeting was held on 16th August, 2013. It was suggested in the first meeting by the Members that all faculty Members may list out the causes for the decline in the enrollment and the same may be circulated to the Members of the Committee for further deliberation in the next meeting. The Members also emphasized on the importance</p>



		<p>of conducting need assessment survey for the purpose of reaching to the learners.</p> <p>The Second meeting of the Committee was held on 4th September, 2013. The Members deliberated on the reasons for decline in detail. The final report of the Committee is yet to be finalised as there may be a need to have one more meeting. The Final Report will be placed before the next School Board for its consideration.</p>
2	14.9	Necessary action has been initiated
3.	14.10	The Programme is currently not in offer; therefore, further action is withheld.
4	14.11.5	Phase 1 of the Programme is placed for School Board approval.
5	14.13	Action taken
6	14.14	Action taken
7	14.15.10	Action taken

**SB 15.2.2** The Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the Fourteenth School Board Meeting is noted by the School Board Members.

**Item No.15.3 To consider and confirm the Approval of Appointment of Paper Setters/ Moderators of the Programmes offered by SOL by School Board Members through Circulation.**

**SB 15.3.1** The School of Law (SOL) has received a communication from the SED, IGNOU with a request to submit a revised list of Paper Setters and Moderators for each of the courses offered by SOL. With a view to comply with the requirement of SED, the approval of the Members of the School Board of SOL for all the courses offered by SOL was obtained by Circulation (copy of the e-mail sent to all the School Board Members of SOL for Approval through Circulation is enclosed in **Annexure-II**) and the lists of revised Paper Setters/Moderators/Evaluators/Project Evaluators for all the courses of SOL have already been circulated to all Members. The same were submitted for information and approval on the approval taken by circulation.

**SB 15.3.2** The approval taken from the Members by Circulation was confirmed and approved in this School Board Meeting.

**Item No. 15.4 To consider and approve the Revision of CCP Programme of SOL**

**SB 15.4.1** The Programme Co-ordinator Prof. K Elumalai submitted the proposal to revise the ACS-01 course which is part of CCP programme based on the reasons listed below:



- (i) ACS-01 has not yet been revised since its initial offer.
- (ii) The School of Law has started receiving several requests from learners and government departments for revision of this course.
- (iii) There have been lot of changes/amendments made in the Consumer Protection Act, 1986, and other related legislations.

**SB 15.4.2**

Keeping in view the above factors in mind, the Phase Zero Form (**Annexure-III**) was placed before the School Board for consideration and approval of the same.

**SB 15.4.3**

The School Board after detailed deliberations, approved the Phase Zero Form with the following suggestions

- (i) The Course Code of the Project and the Course Code of the Programme remains the same; hence the same require different course codes.
- (ii) ACS-01 needs to be modified/ raised so as to suit the requirements of the CCP.

**Item No. 15.5**

**To consider and approve the PG Diploma in Child Law – Phase-I Form**

**SB 15.5.1**

The Programme Coordinator Dr. Suneet Kashyap Srivastava, Assistant Professor, SOL proposed to develop the aforesaid programme. The Phase-I Form was placed before the school board for consideration and approval.

**SB 15.5.2**

After detailed deliberations, the School Board Members suggested that the programme coordinator should insert a Concept note/paper in the **Phase Form-I** on the proposed Programme including the rationale and relevance vis-à-vis IGNOU objectives. The concerned Programme Co-ordinator agreed to provide the same.

**SB 15.5.3**

The **Phase Form 1** with due in corporation of inputs on the suggestions made is enclosed. (**Annexure IV**)

**SB 15.5.4**

The School Board, by taking note of the said consent by the Programme Co-ordinator, has approved the above referred **Phase-1 Form**.

**Item No. 15.6**

**To consider and approve the Diploma in Commercial Law - Phase-I Form**

**SB 15.6.1**

The Programme Coordinator Dr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, SOL proposed to develop the aforesaid programme. The Phase-I Form was placed before the school board for consideration and approval.

**SB 15.6.2**

After detailed deliberations, the School Board Members suggested that the programme coordinator should insert a Concept note/paper in the **Phase Form I** on the proposed Programme including the rationale and relevance



vis-à-vis IGNOU objectives. The concerned Programme Co-ordinator agreed to provide the same.

**SB 15.6.3** The **Phase Form-I** with due incorporation of inputs on the suggestions made is enclosed. (**Annexure V**)

**SB 15.6.4** The School Board by taking note of the said consent by the Programme Co-ordinator, has approved the Phase-1 Form.

**Item No. 15.7** **To consider and approve Phase-I Form of Masters of Intellectual Property Law**

**SB 15.7.1** The Programme Co-ordinator Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor proposed to offer the aforesaid programme through ODL mode. The programme Co-ordinator informed the Members that the Master of Intellectual Property Law was offered by School of Law in the online mode in collaboration with QUT. The programme was marketed, delivered and managed by M/s Rainmaker through their online E- learning platform (E-mentor). The MoU between rainmaker and IGNOU has expired on 10th October, 2012. The License Agreement of School of Law, IGNOU with QUT has expired on 07/04/2013. This online course is currently put on hold.

**SB 15.7.2** The programme co-ordinator has informed that the 4<sup>th</sup> School Board Meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2007 had also approved offering of MIPL Programme through Distance Education. (**Annexure VI.1.1**) The matter pertaining to launching of MIPL Programme in ODL mode, instead of online programme was also placed before previous 14<sup>th</sup> School Board Meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2013 for consideration and approval and the same was approved. (**Annexure-VI.1.2**)

**SB 15.7.3** Keeping in view the fact that the course material stand developed already for online MIPL course on the one hand and the anticipated demand for the same programme through ODL mode due to putting on hold the on-line MIPL Programme by IGNOU on the other, the **Phase-I Form (Annexure-VI.2)** was placed before the School Board for consideration and approval.

**SB 15.7.4** After detailed deliberations, the School Board approved the **Phase- I Form** to offer MIPL through ODL Mode.

**Item No. 15.8** **To consider and approve the names of the Supervisors for the Programme MIRP-003 of MIPL (Online Programme)**

**SB 15.8.1** Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, the Programme Co-ordinator MIPL online programme submitted the following names of Supervisors for the Programme MIRP-003 of MIPL (Online Programme) offered by SOL.



1. Mr. Pankaj Kumar,  
IPR Attorney and the Asst. Prof. –IPR,  
IIS University (**Annexure-VII.1**)
2. Dr. Anirban Mazumdar,  
Associate Prof.,  
WB National University of Juridical Sciences. (**Annexure-VII.2**)
3. Dr. Sachiv Kumar,  
M.A., L.L.B., Ph.D.,  
Asst. Prof., Rajiv Gandhi National Law University,  
Patiala, Punjab (**Annexure- VII.3**)

**SB 15.8.2** The School Board, after the detailed deliberations, considered and approved the names as proposed at **SB 15.8.1** as Supervisors for the Programme MIRP-003 of MIPL (Online Programme).

**Item No.15.9** **To consider and approve the Empanelment of Evaluators for the Programmes offered by SOL for different Regional Evaluation Centres – Pune and Bhubaneswar**

**SB 15.9.1** The Regional Centre Pune and Bhubaneswar have submitted the list of Bio-data of Evaluators for specified programmes offered by School of Law for approval by the School Board. The concerned Programme Co-ordinators have verified the CVs with the qualification and recommended for approval.

**SB 15.9.2** The Director, SOL submitted the gist of the said Bio-data for consideration and approval by the School Board. The names of Evaluators along with the REC and the Course detail are given below:

**The List of Evaluators Recommended by REC and Programme Co-ordinators**

S. No.	Name	Discipline	Programme/ Course	RC
				REC
1	Jnanendu Kr. Mohapatra	Law	ACS -01	Bhubaneswar
2	Renu D. Chaudhari	Law	PGDIPR, CHR, CIHL	Pune
3	Chandra Prakash Gupta	Law	PGDIPR, CHR, CIHL	Pune

**SB 15.9.3** After the deliberations, the School Board considered and approved the Empanelment of the above Evaluators for the Programmes offered by SOL for Regional Evaluation Centres – Pune and Bhubaneswar.

**Item No. 15.10** **To consider and approve the Minutes and the individual Agenda Items of the Third Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2014.**



- SB 15.10.0** Prof. K. Elumalai, Ph.D. Programme Coordinator and Director, SOL informed the Members of School Board that the Third Doctoral Committee Meeting was held on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 to discuss the following Agenda Items (**SB 15.10.1, SB 15.10.2, & SB 15.10.3**) circulated along with the agenda and Background papers.
- SB 15.10.1** To lay down the eligibility criterion for deciding the Cut-off Marks/ Points for inviting the applicants for next stage of Admission process. (**SB Item No.15.10.1, DC Item No. 3.1**).
- SB 15.10.2** To prepare and finalize the merit list of the Ph.D. Applicants for taking up to the next stage of Admission process – July, 2014 Cycle. (**SB Item No.15.10.2, DC Item No. 3.2**).
- SB 15.10.3** Finalisation of Ph.D. Course Work (**SB Item No.15.10.3 & DC Item No. 3.3.1**)
- SB 15.10.4** The above agenda items as well as Minutes of the Third Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 1st April, 2014 was placed for consideration and approval by the School Board. (**Annexure-VIII**)
- SB 15.10.5** After the detailed deliberations, the School Board approved the Minutes of the Third Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 1st April, 2014 and also approved the individual Agenda Items of the said Meeting referred above. (**SB 15.10.1, SB 15.10.2 and SB 15.10.3**)
- Item No. 15.11** **To consider and approve the Minutes of the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014**
- SB 15.11.1** Prof. K. Elumalai, Ph.D. Programme Coordinator and Director, SOL informed the Members of School Board that the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting was held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 to discuss the Agenda Items circulated along with the agenda and Background papers.
- SB 15.11.2** The Minutes of the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 containing the deliberations held in the said meeting was placed for the Consideration and approval by the School Board. (**Annexure-IX**)
- SB 15.11.3** The School Board considered and accorded approval of the Minutes of the said Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014.
- Item No. 15.12** **To consider and approve the list of candidates for admission into PhD (Law Programme) for the July, 2014 Session. Defence of Doctoral Proposals by the Ph.D. Applicants. (DC Item No. 4.1)**
- SB 15.12.1** Prof. K. Elumalai, Ph.D. Programme Co-ordinator and Director, SOL informed the Members that the details of the Selection Process followed



on the subject of elaborately spelt out in the Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 and 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014.

**SB 15.12.2**

The name of candidates called for personal interaction are listed below:

The list of name of candidates called for interview under both the categories are listed below (DC Item No. 4.1.1):

(i) Applicants who have appeared in the Entrance Examination		
Serial No.	Application No.	Name of the Candidate
1.	534	Manoj Kumar Patel
2.	834	Mohit Bansal
3.	483	Yogesh Sharma
4.	119	Vishali Abrol
5.	816	Narsimham Gunji
6.	701	Renu Sharma
(ii) Applicants exempted from Entrance Examination		
Serial No.	Application No.	Name of the Candidate
7.	105	Pramod Tiwari
8.	190	Shalini Saxena
9.	360	Yogaraj singh R Bais
10.	969	Chitranjan Kispotta
11.	1021	Ritu Chaudhary
12.	1055	Neeraj Kumar
13.	1069	Rahul Bishnoi
14.	1101	Rashmi Singh Rana

**SB 15.12.3**

Out of the 14 candidates called for defending their research proposals before the Doctoral Committee, 11 candidates were present (vide *sl.no.1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 & 14*) and 03 candidates were absent (vide *sl.No.2, 9 & 10- Yogesh Sharma, Yogaraj singh R Bais and Chitranjan Kispotta* from the above list) (DC Item No.4.1.2)

**SB 15.12.4**

Based on the presentations made by the candidates on their research proposals/topics, the observations made by the Members of the Doctoral committee are as under:

*Table showing the name of Candidates, Research Topic Proposed and the Recommendations/Observations made by the Doctoral Committee (DC Item No.4.1.2)*

**A. Candidates Recommended**

Sl.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (submitted)	Observations/Remarks/Recommendations of the Doctoral Committee
1	Manoj Kumar Patel	Lack of Law in Inter Governmental Agency coordination and Wasteful and avoidable expenditure of public resources thereby.	The candidate may be recommended for admission in Ph.D., subject to the change in title of the thesis as suggested: "Lack of Inter Governmental agency coordination leading to avoidable and wasteful expenditure of public resources" need for a Model Law. The candidate agreed for the proposed change. Therefore the topic is recommended for Ph.D. enrollment.



### B. Candidates Not Recommended

Sl.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (submitted)	Observations/Remarks/Recommendations of the Doctoral Committee
1.	Mohit Bansal	Concept of Domicile under the Indian Constitution	<i>The statement of the Research problem has not been spelt out. The justification and need for the study is found lacking. Therefore the topic is not recommended.</i>
2	Vaishali Abrol	Cyber Crimes- A Comparative Study between Cyber Crimes Reporting Before and After Coming of IT Act 2000 (Socio-Legal Aspects).	<i>There is no clarity on the scope of the study to be conducted. Therefore the topic is not recommended.</i>
3	Narsimham Gunji	"A Critical study and review of the Law on Public Health safeguarding and Regulatory System in India, with a special reference to constitutional provisions & TRIPS Agreement in the regime of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs)"	<i>The topic is too wide for a Ph.D. work. Therefore the topic is not recommended.</i>
4	Renu Sharma	Offences against Married women with the Special reference of Domestic Violence Act, 2005	<i>The objectives of the Research study have not properly formulated. Therefore the topic is not recommended.</i>
5.	Pramod Tiwari	Climate and Crime in India: A study with special reference to Uttarakhand	<i>Universe of the study is not justified. Hypothesis is not properly framed. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>
6	Shalini Saxena	"The law relating to independent director in Indian Laws"- A comparative analysis.	<i>A case for the Research Study is not made out. The Research Problem is not identified. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>
7.	Ritu Choudhary	The challenge of ensuring equal access to right to life for a girl child: A critical analysis of female foeticide in India.	<i>The scope of the study is not properly identified. Hypothesis is not properly framed. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>
8.	Neeraj Kumar	Syrian Crisis and International Law.	<i>The scope, need and justification of the study is not clearly spelt out. The specific objectives of the study are not properly formulated. The Research Methodology is not provided. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>
9.	Rahul Bishnoi	Women's right to property under Hindu Law: An analytical study within legal and Social Spectrum.	<i>The statement of the Problem is not formulated. The Research Methodology of the study is not clear. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>
10.	Rashmi Singh Rana	"Emergence of right conferring laws in Post liberalization Era"- A study of impact of globalization on Individual Freedom.	<i>The scope of the study is too wide for a Ph.D. Work. Therefore, the topic is not recommended.</i>

SB 15.12.5

The brief synopsis on the research topic proposed and CV of a candidate recommended for PhD. Programme in Law is as detailed below:

Sl.No.	Name of the Candidate	Research Topic (Commended)	Annexure
1.	Manoj Kumar Patel	"Lack of Inter Governmental agency coordination leading to avoidable and wasteful expenditure of public resources" need for a Model Law.	Annexure X.1 Synopsis on the proposed research topic Annexure X.2 Brief CV

SB 15.12.6

After detailed deliberations, the School Board approved the name of Mr. Manoj Kumar Patel, as recommended along with the topic finalised and also the names of candidates not recommended by the Doctoral Committee.



**Item No. 15.13 To consider and approve the Panel of Examiners for the Evaluation of Ph.D. – Thesis (Ms. Preeti Gupta) (DC Item No. 4.2)**

**15.13.1**

Prof. K. Elumalai, Ph.D. Programme Coordinator & Director, SOL, informed the Members that the Research Supervisor, Prof. Srikrishna Deva Rao has forwarded a List of External Examiners for Evaluation of Ph.D. thesis of Ms. Preeti Gupta. SOL submitted the same to Director, Research Unit on the same day and on 9<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 the Director (Research Unit) sent back the same note with the remarks "May please be clarified whether the panel has been approved by the School Board of SOL. If not, same need the approval of the School Board of SOL". The items related to PhD Programme need the approval of the Doctoral Committee before taking the same to the School Board.

**SB 15.13.2**

The Panel of Evaluators provided by the Supervisor/Research Guide of the Candidate (Prof. Sri Krishna Deva Rao) was placed before the School Board duly recommended by the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 for its consideration and approval (DC Item No. 4.2.2) and the same was approved. (DC Item No. 4.2.3)

**SB 15.13.3**

The School Board, after discussion, recommended that the said panel of Evaluators provided by the Research Guide and approved by the Doctoral Committee (DC Item No. 4.2.3) be approved for evaluation of the Ph.D. thesis of Ms. Preeti Gupta.

**Item No. 15.14 To consider and decide on the Course-work Exemption claimed by Mr. Rahul Verma and Mr. Saji Mathew, Ph.D. Scholars. (request received from the Research Scholars) (DC Item No. 4.3)**

**SB 15.14.1**

The background behind course work exemption claimed by Mr. Rahul Verma and Mr. Saji Mathew, Ph.D. Scholars (June 2013 batch) was placed before the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2014 and the same is incorporated in the Minutes of the said Doctoral Committee Meeting. (DC Item No. 4.3)

**SB 15.14.2**

The Doctoral Committee recommended as under:

- (i) The request made by Mr. Rahul Verma and Mr. Saji Mathew may be accepted and accordingly exemption from the completion of 4-credit Course work may be granted.
- (ii) The remaining candidate Mr. Rakesh Kumar may be requested to complete the Course work of 4- credits by making two seminars presentations.

**SB 15.14.3**

After detailed deliberations, the School Board has approved the above recommendations made by the Doctoral Committee



**Item No. 15.15** To consider and approve the First Progress Report submitted by 1.Mr. Rahul Verma, 2. Mr. Saji Mathew and 3. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Research Scholars Ph.D. Programme of SOL, July, 2013 Cycle (DC Item No. 4.4)

**SB 15.15.1** Director (SOL) and Programme Coordinator (Ph.D. Programme – Law) has informed the Members that the First Progress Report of the following 3 Ph.D. Research Scholars who have joined the PhD Programme in Law in July, 2013 Cycle(**DC Item No.4.4.1**), were submitted to the School with the approval of Research Guide.

1. Mr. Rahul Verma (Enrl. No. 138000823) for August, 2013 to Jan., 2014 period- (**Annexure-XI.1**)
2. Mr. Saji Mathew (Enrl. No.138000934) for July, 2013 to Jan., 2014 period- (**Annexure-XI.2**)
3. Mr. Rakesh Kumar (Enrl. No.138000941) for August, 2013 to Jan., 2014 period- (**Annexure-XI.3**)

**SB 15.15.2** The above three progress reports were placed for consideration and approval of the same by the Doctoral Committee (**DC Item No.4.4.2**).

**SB 15.15.3** Though, the above scholars were admitted into Ph.D. programme for July, 2013 cycle, the candidates received the letters for admission in August, 2013. In view of the above they have submitted the progress report for the period August, 2013-January, 2014.

**SB 15.15.4** With a view to bring uniformity in the submission of the Progress Report, the Ph.D. programme Co-ordinator suggested that the above Progress Report submitted may be treated for the period July, 2013 to December, 2013. The Fourth Doctoral Committee meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 accepted the said suggestion and recommended for approval of the same. (**DC Item No. 4.4.3**)

**SB 15.15.5** The said recommendation of the Fourth Doctoral Committee was placed for the consideration and approval by the School Board.

**SB 15.15.6** After detailed discussion and deliberations, the School Board recommended for the approval of the Progress Reports of the above candidates Mr. Rahul Verma, Mr. Saji Mathew and Mr. Rakesh Kumar for the period July, 2013 to December, 2013.

**Item No. 15.16** To consider and approve the names of Examiners/Moderators/Paper Setters and Evaluators for the Course Work of Ph.D. Programme. (**DC Item No. 4.5**)

**SB 15.16.1** Before July, 2013, the Course-work for Ph.D. Programme in Law (Category A) was not made compulsory. However, Course-work was prescribed for the students registered for Ph.D. Programme in Law for July, 2013 batch onwards. (**DC Item No.4.5.1**).



**SB 15.16.2**

In view of the above, it is necessary to have Paper Setters/Moderators/Examiners and Evaluators for the Course-work of Ph.D. Programme in Law, informed the Ph.D. Programme Co-ordinator.

**SB 15.16.3**

This item was placed before and discussed in the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014.

**SB 15.16.4**

The Fourth Doctoral Committee, after deliberations recommended the following names for Examiners/ Moderators/Paper Setters and Evaluators for the Course Work of Ph.D. Programme in Law. **(DC Item No.4.5.2)**

1. Prof. B.T. Kaul, Law Centre-II, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, Dhaula Kuan, New Delhi-21.
2. Prof. Manjula Batra, Dean, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi.
3. Prof. (Mrs) Rose Varghese, Former Dean Faculty of Law, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi.
4. Dr. Rajan Varghese, Faculty of Law, Law Centre-II, University of Delhi, Dhaula Kuan, New Delhi-21.
5. Dr. R.G.B. Bhagawath Kumar, Vice-Chancellor, Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Pedawaltair, Visakhapatnam – 530017.
6. Dr. Usha Tandon, Professor-in-charge, Campus Law Centre, Delhi University, New Delhi.
7. Prof. Kamla Shankaran, Campus Law Centre, Delhi University, Delhi.
8. Prof. Sri Krishna Deva Rao, National Law University, Delhi.
9. Prof. K. Elumalai, Director and Ph.D. Programme Coordinator (Law), School of Law, IGNOU, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi – 110068.
10. Dr. Suneet Kashyap, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi- 68.
11. Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi- 68.
12. Dr. Anand Gupta, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi- 68.
13. Ms. Mansi Sharma, Assistant Professor, School of Law, IGNOU, Maidan Garhi, New Delhi- 68.
14. Dr. Mohan Rao Balla, Professor, School of Law, Christ University, Bangalore (E-mail request for Registration as External Research Supervisor/Adjudicator – copy enclosed).
15. Prof. Vijaya Kumar, National Law School, Bangalore.
16. Prof. V. Nagraj, Vice Chancellor, National Law University, Bhubaneswar.



- SB 15.16.5** The above list of names as recommended by the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014 was placed for the consideration and approval by the School Board.
- SB 15.16.6** The School Board of SOL, after detailed discussion and deliberations have recommended that the said panel of Examiners/Moderators/Paper Setters and Evaluators for the Course Work of Ph.D. Programme be approved. **(DC Item No.4.5.3).**
- Item No. 15.17** *To consider and approve the Curriculum for the Course Work and Evaluation Methodology for Ph.D. Programme offered by SOL from July, 2014 Cycle onwards. (DC Item No. 4.6).*
- SB 15.17.1.** The curriculum for Course work and Guidelines for allotment of Course Work for Ph.D. Programme in Law was finalised and approved in the Third Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2013. **(DC Item no. 3.3.1 & DC Item No. 4.6.1).**
- SB 15.17.2.** However, the Evaluation Methodology of the Ph.D. Course work was not taken up during the said Meeting; hence, the same was taken up for discussion, consideration and approval in the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014. **(DC Item No.4.6.2)**
- SB 15.17.3.** The following Evaluation Methodologies were discussed. **(DC Item No.4.6.3)**
1. TEE may be conducted for the following two courses:
    - (i) **Course Work 1:**  
Research Methodology - (8 Credits) – 100 Marks
    - (ii) **Course Work 2:**
      - (a) Constitutional Law of India - (4 Credits) – 50 Marks
      - (b) Jurisprudence and Legal Theory - (4 Credits) – 50 Marks
  2. **Course Work 3 (Optional):**  
In the case of **Course Work 3**, the candidates may be asked to make at least two Presentations/Seminars in any **one of the areas of specialization specified in Annexure (XII)**. Each presentation will carry 50 Marks equivalent to 04 credits, thus totaling 100 Marks and 8 Credits.
  3. **Course Work 4:**  
In the case of **Course Work 4**, the candidate may be asked to make at least two Presentations/ Seminars in the **area of the Research topic chosen by the candidate**. Each presentation will carry 50 Marks equivalent to 04 credits, thus totaling 100 Marks and 8 Credits.
- SB 15.17.4** This item was placed for consideration and approval before in the Fourth Doctoral Committee Meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2014.



**SB 15.17.5** After detailed deliberations, the said Fourth Doctoral Committee recommended for the approval of the above proposal. (DC Item No.4.6.3 & DC Item No.4.6.4).

**SB 15.17.6** The above recommendation made by Doctoral Committee was placed for the consideration and approval by the School Board.

**SB 15.17.7** The School Board after detailed discussion and deliberations, have recommended for the approval of the above Evaluation Methodology for Ph.D. Programme offered by SOL from July, 2014 Cycle onwards. (DC Item No.4.6.4).

**Item No. 15.18** Any other matter with the permission of the Chair

**SB 15.18.1** Discussion on comments/observations received from Faculty Members in respect of confirmation of Minutes of School Board Meeting held on 31st May, 2013.

**SB 15.18.2** As suggested by the School Board Members vide SB Item No. 15.1.3 the observations/comments received from the Faculty Members in general and that of Dr. Suneet Kashyap and Dr. Gurmeet Kaur in particular was taken up for discussion as a separate item under any other item with the permission of the chair.

**SB 15.18.3** On perusal of the observations made by the Faculty Members referred above, the Members of the School Board particularly the External Members felt that the observations made by the said Faculty Members were of personal in nature, hence, requested as well as suggested that all Faculty Members, in future, may refrain from making such comments/observations which are personal in nature.

**SB 15.18.4** It was further suggested that the Faculty Members should keep the growth, development and interest of the School in mind while making any observation & views and taking any decision pertaining to the activities undertaken by the School. Further, the present practice of one faculty becoming the Programme Co-ordinator of a Programme as well as Course Co-ordinator of all the courses of the said Programme be it a Certificate or Diploma or Masters course should be dispensed with since it is regarded as one of the stumbling block against collective effort.

**SB 15.18.5** In this regard, the Director, SOL suggested that, henceforth, the Programme Co-ordinator may, at the most, become the Course Coordinator of one course of the said Programme, in the case of Certificate Course, and two courses in the case of Diploma Programme and so on. The Faculty Members other than Programme Coordinator of the said Programme may get associated with the said Programme as Course Co-ordinators. This principle needs to be followed in all the Programmes launched by the School, henceforth, in this process; every Faculty of SOL



(40) c/4

will have involvement and commitment in each and every programme offered by SOL.

**SB 15.8.6**

The School Board Members have after deliberations, have accepted as well as approved the above suggestion made by the said Members and Director, SOL.

**SB 15.18.7**

With regard to the observation made by Dr. Gurmeet Kaur, for the offer of MIPL course without reference to any specific period, the Director, SOL and the Chairman School Board stated that it is not desirable to approve the offer of the course without prescribing any time limit.

**SB 15.18.8**

The External Board Members unanimously agreed to the suggestions made by the Director to specify the time frame within which the process to offer the course should be completed. The Committee Members, however, suggested that the time frame prescribed should be made more realistic by the School Council/School Board and not by the concerned Faculty/Programme Co-ordinator.

**SB 15.18.8**

The School Board Members after deliberations, approved the above suggestions made by Members and the Director, SOL.

The Meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.

\*\*\*\*\*

*Ghalar*

(Prof K Elumalai)

Director & Chairman  
School Board, SOL.